

WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT — ODOUR MANAGEMENT

1772. Hon Ben Dawkins to the parliamentary secretary to the Minister for Water:

I refer to the Greater Bunbury Region Scheme (GBRS) minor amendment 0020/57 initiated by the Water Corporation, the report by Water Corporation ‘Greater Bunbury Region Scheme Amendment No. 10XX/XX Amendment Report –Version 1 – Dated 30 March 2010 (WaterCorp2010) that the Water Corporation submitted for the amendment, and I ask:

- (a) did the Water Corporation apply for a smaller buffer area for the Wate Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) from the one recommended by the 2007 odour modelling study (Figure 18) by Consulting Environmental Engineers included in the WaterCorp2010 Report:
  - (i) if yes to (a), why;
- (b) would you please provide any odour modelling study that supports the odour buffer established with amendment 0020/57:
  - (i) if no to (b), why not;
- (c) did the Water Corporation communicate with Satterley Property Group or the Department of Communities when the 2007 Odour Modelling Study was finished or when it was in process of being finished:
  - (i) if yes to (c), would you please table the correspondence between the Water Corporation and Satterley Property Group /Department of Communities; and
  - (ii) if no to (c), why not; and
- (d) did the Water Corporation communicate with Satterley Property Group or the Department of Communities while the WaterCorp2010 Report was being prepared or after it was finished:
  - (i) if yes to (d), would you please table the correspondence between the Water Corporation and Satterley Property Group /Department of Communities; and
  - (ii) if no to (d), why not?

**Hon Matthew Swinbourn replied:**

- (a) No.
  - (i) N/A
- (b) Please refer to Tabled Paper 1365.
- (c) (i)–(ii) Yes. The Member may make a formal request through the *Freedom of Information Act 1992* to view these documents. This is to ensure the right of third parties to be consulted is upheld.
- (d) (i)–(ii) A search of Water Corporation records did not locate any correspondence from either DoC or the Satterley Group on this matter.